arXiv:0908.1017v2 [nlin.SI] 18 Mar 2010

March 19, 2010

Integrable perturbations of the N-dimensional isotropic oscillator

Ángel Ballesteros and Alfonso Blasco

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos, 09001 Burgos, Spain
e-mail: angelb@ubu.es, ablasco@ubu.es

Abstract

Two new families of completely integrable perturbations of the N-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian are presented. Such perturbations depend on arbitrary functions and N free parameters and their integrals of motion are explicitly constructed by making use of an underlying h_6-coalgebra symmetry. Several known integrable Hamiltonians in low dimensions are obtained as particular specializations of the general results here presented. An alternative route for the integrability of all these systems is provided by a suitable canonical transformation which, in turn, opens the possibility of adding $(N-1)$ 'Rosochatius' terms that preserve the complete integrability of all these models.

PACS: 02.20.Sv 02.30.Ik 45.20.Jj

KEYWORDS: Harmonic oscillator, perturbations, integrable systems, Lie algebras, coalgebras, Casimir functions, N-dimensional

1 Introduction

The harmonic oscillator is indeed the paradigm of integrable Hamiltonian dynamics on the N-dimensional (ND) Euclidean space, and its superintegrability properties are very well established through group-theoretic methods (see, for instance, [1, 2]). Therefore, the construction of non-trivial integrable perturbations/deformations of the ND harmonic oscillator (understood in a broad sense as the addition of any ND potential term -not necessarily small- that preserves the complete integrability of the system) are interesting from both physical and mathematical viewpoints.

In this respect, although certain 2D and 3D perturbations have been thoroughly studied (see, for instance [3]-[14] and references therein) the number of ND results is more limited. Among them we can quote the search for coupled polynomial perturbations [15]-[18], Garnier systems [19], the Smorodinski-Winternitz Hamiltonian [20, 21], Calogero-Moser systems [22, 23] and coupled oscillators coming from symmetric spaces [24]. From a more generic perspective, integrable oscillators on ND curved spaces can also be considered as deformations of the ND Euclidean ones in which the kinetic energy term is also perturbed through functions of the canonical coordinates containing a curvature parameter (see [25, 26, 27] and references therein).

In this paper we demonstrate the complete integrability of the following ND natural Hamiltonian system

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2 + \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2\right) \tag{1.1}$$

where $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ is any homogeneous function of degree -2 in the canonical coordinates and such that $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ can be written in terms of the variables $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2$. Here, δ_1 and λ_i ($i = 1, \ldots, N$) are $(N+1)$ free parameters and $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary radial perturbation. Since the expressions for the integrals of the motion are analytic in δ_1, the oscillator term can also be removed without altering the integrability properties of the system. As a consequence, by considering appropriate specializations of the dimension N, the arbitrary functions $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ and the $(N+1)$ free parameters λ_i ($i = 1, \ldots, N$), we can obtain many different integrable systems.

In Section 2 we shall prove this result by giving explicitly a set of $(N-1)$ functionally independent integrals of the motion for $\mathcal{H}_r$, hat will be obtained by making use of the underlying h_6-Poisson coalgebra symmetry [28, 29, 30] of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_r$. In fact, such symmetry implies that this Hamiltonian has two different sets of $(N-1)$ independent integrals [31], and among both sets we find a total number of $(2N-4)$ independent constants of the motion in involution with $\mathcal{H}_r$, which implies that (1.1) is a superintegrable system (albeit not maximally superintegrable).

Moreover, another family of completely integrable perturbations of the ND oscillator is introduced in Section 3, namely

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2 + \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{1\leq i<j}^{N} (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2\right) + \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i\right) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ are arbitrary functions and δ_1 and λ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ are again $(N+1)$ free parameters. Again, the second term $(\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G})$ can be interpreted as two independent families of integrable deformations/perturbations whose superposition preserves the complete integrability of the whole system. The (super)integrability of this family of ND Hamiltonian systems can also be proven by restorting to its h_6-Poisson coalgebra symmetry and we shall present a number of known integrable polynomial perturbations that can be easily obtained as particular cases of $\mathcal{H}$.

We would like to mention that in the 3D case Hamiltonians (1.1) and (1.2) are subclasses of the systems considered in [9, 10] through a 3D realization of a so-called 'extended' $sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ Poisson-Lie algebra (which is just isomorphic to h_6). However, the ND integrable generalization here presented is only possible by endowing h_6 with the appropriate underlying coalgebra structure and by imposing the full Hamiltonian to be h_6-coalgebra invariant.

In Section 4 we discuss how the complete integrability of (1.2) can be alternatively explained through the introduction of a ND canonical transformation $(q,p) \to (Q,P)$ under which the complete integrability of (1.2) comes immediately from both its separability in terms of the (new) Q_1 coordinate and the radial symmetry of the remaining $(N-1)$-dimensional Hamiltonian. Moreover, we show that this radial symmetry can be broken without destroying the complete integrability of the system through the addition of a 'Rosochatius' contribution [32, 33] of the form $\sum_{i=2}^{N} b_i/Q_i^2$. This fact will be algebraically rephrased through the introduction of a new ND symplectic realization for the h_6-Poisson coalgebra that includes the previous Rosochatius terms. As a consequence, a further integrable generalization of the Hamiltonians (1.1) and (1.2) is presented.

2 A new ND integrable Hamiltonian

In order to prove the complete integrability of the ND Hamiltonian (1.1) we consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i p_i \qquad & A_- &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i \qquad & K &= \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(q_i p_i - \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2}\right) \\ B_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 & B_- &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2 & M &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where λ_i are arbitrary parameters and N fixes the number of degrees of freedom. These six functions provide a ND symplectic realization of the six-dimensional h_6-Poisson coalgebra with Lie-Poisson brackets given by [29, 30, 34]

$$\begin{array}{lll} \{K, A_+\} = A_+ & \{K, A_-\} = -A_- & \{A_-, A_+\} = M \\ \{K, B_+\} = 2B_+ & \{K, B_-\} = -2B_- & \{B_-, B_+\} = 4K + 2M \\ \{A_+, B_-\} = -2A_- & \{A_+, B_+\} = 0 & \{M, \cdot\,\} = 0 \\ \{A_-, B_+\} = 2A_+ & \{A_-, B_-\} = 0. & \end{array} \tag{2.2}$$

The Casimir functions for h_6 [35] are given by the central generator M and by

$$\mathcal{C} = (MB_+ - A_+^2)(MB_- - A_-^2) - (MK - A_-A_+ + M^2/2)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

Now, let

$$\mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(A_-, B_-\right) = \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) \tag{2.4}$$

be a homogeneous function of degree -2 in the canonical coordinates that can be written in terms of the h_6 generators A_- and B_-. Then the ND Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2 + \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) \tag{2.5}$$

where $\mathcal{J}$ is an arbitrary radial function and δ_1, λ_i $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ are free parameters, is completely integrable.

In order to prove this result, we realize that $\mathcal{H}_r$ is h_6-coalgebra invariant, since it can be written as a smooth function of the h_6-coalgebra generators in the form

$$\mathcal{H}_r = \frac{1}{2}B_+ + \delta_1\, B_- + \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(A_-, B_-\right) + \mathcal{J}\left(B_-\right). \tag{2.6}$$

This invariance immediately implies (see [30]) that the following $(N-2)$ functionally independent functions

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(m)} = \sum_{i<j<k}^{m} (\lambda_i(p_jq_k - p_kq_j) + \lambda_j(p_kq_i - p_iq_k) + \lambda_k(p_iq_j - p_jq_i))^2 \qquad m = 3, 4, \ldots, N \tag{2.7}$$

Poisson-commute with $\mathcal{H}_r$ and among themselves. In fact, a given integral $\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(m)}$ is just the Casimir function (2.3) divided by M and expressed in terms of the corresponding m-particle realization of the h_6 algebra given in the form (2.1).

Therefore, we have to find only one more independent integral in order to get complete integrability. In this case such an additional integral can be found through direct computation by making use of the Poisson brackets (2.2), and reads

$$\mathcal{I}_r = B_-B_+ - (K + \frac{1}{2}M)^2 + 2\, B_-\, \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(A_-, B_-\right) \tag{2.8}$$

that written in terms of the canonical coordinates gives:

$$\mathcal{I}_r=\sum_{1\leq i<j}^{N}(q_jp_i-q_ip_j)^2+2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2\right)\mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_iq_i,\sum_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2\right).$$

Again, the involutivity of $\mathcal{H}_r$ and $\mathcal{I}_r$ with respect to the integrals (2.7) is a direct consequence of the h_6-coalgebra symmetry of both functions and the bracket $\{\mathcal{H}_r,\mathcal{I}_r\}=0$ is easily proven by direct computation. Finally, the functional independence of $\mathcal{I}_r$ can be easily proven in the harmonic oscillator case $\mathcal{J}=\mathcal{V}_{-2}=0$ with $\lambda_i=\delta_{ij}$ for a given j. Therefore, the functional independence follows for the generic case with arbitrary λ_i and non-vanishing $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ functions.

Moreover, the h_6-coalgebra symmetry implies that $\mathcal{H}_r$ is not only integrable but superintegrable, since there exists an alternative set of integrals [30]

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_6,(m)}=\sum_{N-m+1\leq i<j<k}^{N}\big(\lambda_i(p_jq_k-p_kq_j)+\lambda_j(p_kq_i-p_iq_k)+\lambda_k(p_iq_j-p_jq_i)\big)^2 \tag{2.9}$$

where $m=3,\ldots,N$. Note that $\mathcal{C}_{h_6,(N)}=\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(N)}$, so $\mathcal{H}_r$ has a total number of $(2N-4)$ functions in involution (including $\mathcal{I}_r$).

We recall that the ND isotropic oscillator (without any perturbation) has a total number of $(2N-2)$ independent integrals, that make it a maximally superintegrable system. From this perspective, the perturbation given by $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ strongly preserves the integrability properties of the oscillator Hamiltonian, since only two integrals of the motion are lost under perturbation as a consequence of imposing the h_6 invariance of the system.

Remark. Note that in the case $N=3$ the Hamiltonian (2.5) is a particular subclass of the Hamiltonians presented in [9]. This is due to the fact that in our construction we have imposed $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ to be h_6-coalgebra invariant, which is an additional constraint with respect to [9], where the only condition imposed on $\mathcal{V}_{-2}$ was to be a homogeneous function of degree -2 in the 3D canonical coordinates.

2.1 A new ND integrable model

If we take

$$\mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(A_-,B_-\right)=\varepsilon\,\frac{A_-^2-B_-}{B_-\left(MB_--A_-^2\right)} \tag{2.10}$$

and $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\cdots=\lambda_N=1$, we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_r=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}p_i^2+\delta_1\sum_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2+\varepsilon\,\frac{2\sum\limits_{1\leq i<j}^{N}q_i\,q_j}{\left(\sum\limits_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2\right)\sum\limits_{1\leq i<j}^{N}\left(q_i-q_j\right)^2}+\mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2\right) \tag{2.11}$$

in which we see that the function $\mathcal{V}_{-2}\,(A_-,B_-)$ gives rise to an homogeneous function of degree -2 in the canonical coordinates. In the $N=2$ case (and with a vanishing radial perturbation $\mathcal{J}$) the quantum mechanical analogue of (2.11) has been studied in [36] as an exactly solvable 'generalization' of the 2D Calogero-Moser model. Therefore, presumably (2.11) provides a good candidate for a new exactly solvable (classical and quantum) model in arbitrary dimension.

3 Another ND integrable perturbation

Many other possibilities for a perturbed ND oscillator Hamiltonian defined on the h_6 Poisson coalgebra can be considered, but only very few special choices admit the existence of an additional integral $\mathcal{I}$. This is the case of the function

$$\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2}B_+ + \delta_1\,B_- + \mathcal{F}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}_-}\right)+\mathcal{G}\,(A_-) \tag{3.1}$$

where the generators of h_6 are taken in the ND symplectic realization (2.1) and

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}_-}=MB_- - A_-^2=\sum_{1\leq i<j}^{N}(\lambda_j q_i-\lambda_i q_j)^2 \tag{3.2}$$

is just the Casimir for a (1+1)-Galilei subalgebra of h_6 spanned by $\{B_-,A_-,A_+,M\}$. Therefore, we get the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N}p_i^2+\delta_1\sum_{i=1}^{N}q_i^2+\mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{1\leq i<j}^{N}(\lambda_j q_i-\lambda_i q_j)^2\right)+\mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i q_i\right) \tag{3.3}$$

for which the additional integral $\mathcal{I}$ can also be found in an h_6-invariant form through direct computation and reads:

$$\mathcal{I}=A_+^2+2\,\delta_1\,A_-^2+2\,\mathcal{G}\,(A_-)\,M.$$

In terms of the ND symplectic realization, this last integral is explicitly written as

$$\mathcal{I}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i p_i\right)^2+2\,\delta_1\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i q_i\right)^2+\,2\,\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i^2\right)\,\mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N}\lambda_i q_i\right). \tag{3.4}$$

Note that $\mathcal{I}$ does not depend on the choice of the function $\mathcal{F}$.

We stress that the h_6-coalgebra symmetry ensures that $\mathcal{I}$ will Poisson-commute with all the $\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(m)}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{h_6,(m)}$ integrals, and the fact that $\{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{I}\}=0$ is easily proven by direct computation. The functional independence of $\mathcal{I}$ with respect to the remaining integrals is proven by taking $\delta_1=0$ and $\mathcal{G}=0$. In this case $\mathcal{I}=A_+^2$ only depends on the momenta, and is indeed functionally independent of $\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(m)}$ (that does not depend on δ_1, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$) and of the Hamiltonian. This functional independence will be mantained under the 'deformations' $\delta_1\neq 0$ and $\mathcal{G}\neq 0$.

3.1 Some examples

The Hamiltonian (3.3) includes many different systems, and for $N = 2, 3$ some of them can be found in the literature. For instance, if we consider $\mathcal{F}(x) = \alpha/x$ we get

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} p_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} q_i^2 + \frac{\alpha}{\sum\limits_{1\le i<j}^{N} (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2} + \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i\right) \tag{3.5}$$

which in the case $N = 2$ is a rational Calogero-Moser system plus an arbitrary function $\mathcal{G}$. The integral of the motion in this case is (3.4) with $N = 2$. Note that the integrals (2.7) and (2.9) only exist from $N = 3$ and $\mathcal{I}$ does not depend on the choice of the potential $\mathcal{F}$.

Nonlinear polynomial oscillators can be obtained from (3.3) through a perturbation

$$\phi = \mathcal{F}(x) + \mathcal{G}(y) = \sum_{j=2}^{k} \alpha_j\, x^j + \sum_{j=3}^{l} \beta_{j-1}\, y^j, \tag{3.6}$$

thus obtaining an integrable superposition of a polynomial deformation of degree $2k$ in the coordinates (the argument $x \equiv \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{G}_-}$ is quadratic in q) and another one of degree l (the argument $y \equiv A_-$ is linear in q). If we analyse separately the homogeneous perturbations with a fixed degree m, for odd m only $g(A_-)$ can contribute, which means that the richest cases will be obtained for m even.

In particular, the homogeneous quartic case is obtained by taking $\phi = \alpha_2\, x^2 + \beta_3\, y^4$. In the 2D case, if we take $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 0$ we obtain a separable Hamiltonian. On the other hand, by taking $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 1, \alpha_2 = \beta_3 = \epsilon/2$ we recover the well-known $1\!:6\!:1$ integrable quartic perturbation (case (4)3' in [8] with $E = 0$):

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(p_1^2 + p_2^2\right) + \delta_1\left(q_1^2 + q_2^2\right) + \epsilon\left(q_1^4 + q_2^4 + 6q_1^2 q_2^2\right). \tag{3.7}$$

This system is known to be connected with the Hirota-Satsuma coupled KdV system (see [37]). In three dimensions, the case $\lambda_1 = 1, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = 0$ again provides the separability of the q_1 coordinate in the form

$$\mathcal{H}^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2}(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2) + \delta_1(q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2) + \alpha_2(q_2^2 + q_3^2)^2 + \beta_3 q_1^4 \tag{3.8}$$

which is also reflected in the invariant $\mathcal{I} = p_1^2 + 2(\delta_1 q_1^2 + \beta_3 q_1^4)$. Moreover, the second invariant reads $\mathcal{C}_{h_6}^{(3)} = (p_2 q_3 - p_3 q_2)^2$, which indicates the axial symmetry with respect to the coordinates (q_2, q_3). This is the case (1) of Table 1 in [11]. On the other hand, if we take $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 1$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^{(3)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(p_1^2 + p_2^2 + p_3^2\right) + \delta_1\left(q_1^2 + q_2^2 + q_3^2\right) \\ &\quad + 2\,\alpha_2\left((q_2 - q_1)^4 + (q_3 - q_1)^4 + (q_3 - q_2)^4\right) + \beta_3\,(q_1 + q_2 + q_3)^4 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

which is just the 3D Chudnowski potential (see [9, 38]) plus an additional quartic term.

The generic homogeneous sextic case is obtained by taking $\phi = \alpha_3\, x^3 + \beta_5\, y^6$. In 2D, separability is trivially obtained when $\lambda_2 = 0$. The case $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 1$ with $\alpha_2 = \beta_5 = b/2$ gives the known coupled sextic oscillator (see [17], case (i) of Table 5.3.):

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\left(p_1^2 + p_2^2\right) + \delta_1\left(q_1^2 + q_2^2\right) + b\left(q_1^6 + q_2^6 + 15\, q_1^2 q_2^2 (q_1^2 + q_2^2)\right). \tag{3.10}$$

In 3D the generic integrable homogeneous sextic perturbation coming from (3.3) gives, under the choice $\lambda_1 = 1,\ \lambda_2 = 0,\ \lambda_3 = 0$, a system which is again separable in q_1 and whose axial symmetry in (q_1, q_2) is also recovered. As we shall see in the following section, this separability pattern can be explained in general for the Hamiltonian (3.3).

4 A canonical transformation

Without any loss of generality, let us assume that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_k \neq 0$ for at least one $k \neq 1$. Let us consider the $N \times N$ orthogonal matrix $R = (a_{i,j})$ with matrix elements

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,j} &= a_{j,1} = \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda} && j = 1, \ldots, N \\ a_{i,j} &= a_{j,i} = \delta_{i,j} - \frac{\lambda_i \lambda_j}{\lambda(\lambda - \lambda_1)} && (i, j = 2, \ldots, N) \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i^2}$ and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta. Then R defines a canonical transformation on the (column) vectors $q := (q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_N)$ and $p := (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_N)$ through

$$Q = R \cdot q, \qquad P = R \cdot p \tag{4.11}$$

where

$$R^t = R^{-1} = R, \qquad \det R = -1 \tag{4.12}$$

and the (column) vectors $Q := (Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_N)$ and $P := (P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_N)$ are the new canonical variables fulfilling $\{Q_i, P_j\} = \delta_{ij}$.

In terms of these new variables the N-particle symplectic realization of h_6 reads

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \lambda\, P_1 & A_- &= \lambda\, Q_1 & K &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i P_i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ B_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i^2 & B_- &= \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 & M &= \lambda^2, \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

and the integrals of the motion coming from the h_6 Casimir are transformed into

$$\mathcal{C}^{(m)} = \lambda^2 \sum_{2 \leq i < j}^{m} (P_j Q_i - P_i Q_j)^2 \qquad m = 3, \ldots, N. \tag{4.14}$$

Essentially, the existence of this canonical transformation shows that the symplectic realization of h_6 (2.1) with $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \cdots = \lambda_N = 0$ is the generic one. In particular, under this canonical transformation the Hamiltonian (3.3) reads

$$\mathcal{H}' = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 + \mathcal{F}\left(\lambda^2 \sum_{i=2}^{N} Q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{G}\left(\lambda\, Q_1\right) \tag{4.15}$$

whilst the additional integral (3.4) is just

$$\mathcal{I}' = \lambda^2 \left\{ P_1^2 + 2\,\delta_1\, Q_1^2 + \, 2\,\mathcal{G}(\lambda\, Q_1)\right\}. \tag{4.16}$$

Therefore, the $(N-2)$ integrals (4.14) just reflect the radial symmetry of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}'$ with respect to the new variables $i = 2, \ldots, N$, and the remaining one (4.16) expresses the separability of the $i = 1$ degree of freedom.

At this point it worth stressing that the canonical transformation R allows us to deduce immediately –and without making use of any h_6 invariance– the existence of the invariants $L_{ij} = (P_j Q_i - P_i Q_j)$ with $2 \leq i < j \leq N$, which are linear in the momenta. Nevertheless, such linear integrals are not generically in involution, and the h_6 construction provides automatically the subfamily (4.14) of $(N-2)$ quadratic integrals in involution. Anyhow, the linear 'angular momentum components' L_{ij} are indeed useful in order to simplify the study of the dynamics of the system and to construct its explicit solutions.

Under the canonical transformation R, the Hamiltonian (1.1) is also mapped into

$$\mathcal{H}_r' = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 + \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\lambda\, Q_1, \sum_{i=2}^{N} Q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) \tag{4.17}$$

and its additional integral is written as

$$\mathcal{I}_r' = \sum_{1\leq i<j}^{N} (P_j Q_i - P_i Q_j)^2 + 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\lambda\, Q_1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 .\right) \tag{4.18}$$

Note that in general (4.17) is no longer a separable system, although it does exhibit radial symmetry with respect to the $i = 2, 3. \ldots, N$ degrees of freedom.

4.1 Rosochatius terms through a new symplectic realization

After the previous discussion it comes out that the complete integrability of the Hamiltonian (3.3) is evident when written in the new variables (Q, P). Nevertheless, this result opens the path to the construction of a non-trivial completely integrable generalization of both (4.15) and (4.17), since if radial symmetry appears then a Rosochatius-type potential can be added without altering the integrability properties of the Hamiltonian (see [25], [27] and [31] for a detailed discussion in terms of the appropriate symplectic realization of the $sl(2)$ Poisson-coalgebra). This enables us to consider a modification of the N-particle symplectic realization (4.13) including a set of $(N-1)$ dimensional Rosochatius terms within the B_+ generator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &A_+ = \lambda\, P_1 \qquad\qquad A_- = \lambda\, Q_1 \qquad\qquad K = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i P_i - \frac{\lambda^2}{2} \\ &B_+ = P_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{N}\left(P_i^2 + \frac{b_i}{Q_i^2}\right) \qquad B_- = \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 \qquad M = \lambda^2. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Note that when $b_i > 0$ the Rosochatius terms can be properly considered as centrifugal ones. It is immediate to prove that (4.19) is a symplectic realization for h_6, and the Casimir functions under this realization read

$$\mathcal{C}_b^{(m)} = \lambda^2 \left\{ \sum_{i=2}^{m} b_i + \sum_{2\leq i<j}^{m} (P_j Q_i - P_i Q_j)^2 + \sum_{2\leq i<j}^{m} \left(b_j \frac{Q_i^2}{Q_j^2} + b_i \frac{Q_j^2}{Q_i^2} \right) \right\} \qquad m = 3, \dots, N. \tag{4.20}$$

If we now use this symplectic realization for the Hamiltonian (3.1) we get

$$\mathcal{H}^b = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 + \mathcal{F}\left(\lambda^2 \sum_{i=2}^{N} Q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{G}\left(\lambda\, Q_1\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{b_i}{Q_i^2} \tag{4.21}$$

which is again completely integrable with constants of the motion given by (4.16) and (4.20). Note that if the canonical transformation is reversed, the latter Rosochatius terms would be written in a non-trivial way in terms of the original coordinates $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_N)$. Namely,

$$Q_1^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \lambda_i q_i\right)^2 \tag{4.22}$$

$$Q_i^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2(\lambda-\lambda_1)^2}\left((\lambda-\lambda_1)(\lambda_i\, q_1 + \lambda\, q_i) - \lambda_i \sum_{j=2}^{N} \lambda_j q_j\right)^2 \qquad i \geq 2. \tag{4.23}$$

In the same way, the symplectic realization (4.19) can be substituted onto the 'abstract' Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_r'$ (2.6) and leads to

$$\mathcal{H}_r^b = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{N} P_i^2 + \delta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2 + \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\lambda Q_1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{J}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) + \sum_{i=2}^{N} \frac{b_i}{Q_i^2}. \tag{4.24}$$

This is indeed a new completely integrable Hamiltonian with constants of the motion in involution given by (4.20) and by the symplectic realization (4.19) of

$$\mathcal{I}_r = B_- B_+ - (K + \frac{1}{2}M)^2 + 2\, B_-\, \mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(A_-, B_-\right) \tag{4.25}$$

that written in terms of the new canonical coordinates reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}_r^b &= \sum_{1\le i<j}^{N} (P_jQ_i - P_iQ_j)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=2}^{N} b_j \frac{Q_i^2}{Q_j^2} + 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right)\mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\lambda\, Q_1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) \\
&= \frac{\mathcal{C}_b^{(N)}}{\lambda^2} + \sum_{j=2}^{N}\left((P_jQ_1 - P_1Q_j)^2 + b_j\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_j^2}\right) + 2\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right)\mathcal{V}_{-2}\left(\lambda\, Q_1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} Q_i^2\right) \quad (4.26)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus showing its functional independence with respect to the integrals $\mathcal{C}_b^{(m)}$ (4.20).

Summarizing, we have presented a constructive approach of two families of completely integrable perturbations-deformations of the ND harmonic oscillator. The fact that all these Hamiltonians can be written as functions of the h_6-Poisson coalgebra under suitable N-particle symplectic realizations makes it possible to demonstrate the (super)integrability properties of both classes of systems. Among all the Hamiltonians here presented, the model (2.11) seems to deserve some attention. In particular, its explicit solvability could be addressed through the 'cluster variables' technique [39] in order to select the appropriate dynamical variables by making use of the underlying coalgebra structure. Another interesting possibility for further work would be the construction of the analogues on ND spaces with constant curvature of the Hamiltonians here presented. This could be feasible by mimicking the $sl(2,\mathbb{R})$ approach described in [25, 26, 27] but now making use of the corresponding h_6-coalgebra formalism.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Spanish MICINN under grant MTM2007-67389 (with EU-FEDER support), by Junta de Castilla y León (Project GR224) and by INFN-CICyT. A. Blasco acknowledges INFN support during his stay in Roma Tre University, where part of this work was completed. The authors acknowledge O. Ragnisco and F.J. Herranz for helpful suggestions and one of the referees for suggesting the existence of the canonical transformation described in Section 4.

References

[1] A.M. Perelomov, *Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie algebras*, Berlin: Birkhäuser (1990).

[2] D.M. Fradkin, *Am. J. Phys.* **33** 207 (1965).

[3] M.C. Gutzwiller, *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics*, Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics, Vol. 1, Springer, New York (1990).

[4] J. Hietarinta, *Phys. Rep.* **147** 87 (1987).

[5] A. Ramani, B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** 1539 (1982).

[6] B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi, R. Padjen, *Phys. Lett. A* **89** 111 (1982).

[7] B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi, A. Ramani, *J. Math. Phys.* **24** 2289 (1983).

[8] J. Hietarinta, *Phys. Lett. A* **96** 273 (1983).

[9] S. Wojciechowski, *Phys. Lett. A* **96** 389 (1983).

[10] M. Wojciechowska, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **17** 1993 (1984).

[11] B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos, J. Hietarinta, A. Ramani, F. Schwarz, *Phys. Lett. A* **116** 432 (1986).

[12] J. Palacián and P. Yanguas, *Chaos, Solitons and Fractals.* **11** 879 (2000).

[13] K. Marciniak, S. Rauch-Wojciechowski, *Inverse Problems* **17** 191 (2001).

[14] K. Marciniak, S. Rauch-Wojciechowski, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **48** 139 (2001).

[15] A. Ramani, J. Hietarinta, B. Dorizzi, B. Grammaticos, *Phys. Lett. A* **109** 55 (1985).

[16] B. Grammaticos, B. Dorizzi, A. Ramani, J. Hietarinta, *Phys. Lett. A* **109** 81 (1985).

[17] M. Lakshmanan and R. Sahadevan, *Phys. Rep.* **224** 1 (1993).

[18] M. Bruschi and F. Calogero, *Phys. Lett. A* **273** 173 (2000).

[19] R. Garnier, *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* **43** 155 (1919).

[20] J. Fris, V. Mandrosov, Ya A. Smorodinsky, M. Uhlir and P. Winternitz, *Phys. Lett.* **16** 354 (1965).

[21] N.W. Evans, *Phys. Lett. A* **147** 483 (1990).

[22] F. Calogero, *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 419 (1971).

[23] J. Moser, *Adv. Math.* **16** 197 (1975).

[24] A. Fordy, S. Wojciechowski and I. Marshall, *Phys. Lett. A* **113** 395 (1986).

[25] A. Ballesteros, F.J. Herranz, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** F51 (2007).

[26] A. Ballesteros, A. Enciso, F.J. Herranz, O. Ragnisco, *Physica D* **237** 505 (2008).

[27] A. Ballesteros, A. Enciso, F.J. Herranz, O. Ragnisco, *Ann. Phys.* **324** 1219 (2009).

[28] A. Ballesteros, O. Ragnisco, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 3791 (1998).

[29] A. Ballesteros, F.J. Herranz, *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.* **8** Suppl. 18 (2001).

[30] A. Ballesteros, A. Blasco, F.J. Herranz, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 265205 (2009).

[31] A. Ballesteros, A. Blasco, F.J. Herranz, F. Musso, O. Ragnisco, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **175**, 012004 (2009).

[32] E. Rosochatius, *Über die Bewegung eines Punktes*, Inaugural Dissertation, Universität Gottingen (Gebr. Unger, Berlin) (1877).

[33] T. Ratiu, *The Lie algebraic interpretation of the complete integrability of the Rosochatius system*, in: Mathematical Methods in Hydrodynamics and Integrability in Dynamical Systems, AIP Conference Proc., Vol. 88 (AIP, New York) p. 109 (1982).

[34] W. M. Zhang, D. H. Feng, R. Gilmore, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62** 867 (1990).

[35] G. Burdet, J. Patera, M. Perrin and P. Winternitz, *J. Math. Phys.* **19** 1758 (1978).

[36] A. Diaf, A. T. Kerris, M. Lassaut, R.J. Lombard, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39** 7305 (2006).

[37] S. Baker, V.Z. Enolskii and A.P. Fordy, *Phys. Lett. A* **201** 167 (1995).

[38] G. V. Chudnovsky, D. V. Chudnovsky, *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **19** 291 (1977).

[39] A. Ballesteros, O. Ragnisco, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 10505 (2003).